

**CORSHAM: PROPOSED PARKING AND WAITING RESTRICTIONS**  
**COMMENTS OF OBJECTION AND SUPPORT**

**59 Comments received (47 objecting, 8 supporting and 4 commenting on)**

<b>ROAD</b>	<b>OBJECTION</b>	<b>SUPPORT</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
Priory Street	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
South Street	<b>26</b>		<b>1</b>
Lacock Road			
Neston Moor Barton	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	
Hatton Way	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	
Beechfield Road	<b>1</b>		<b>1</b>
Ludmead Road	<b>10</b>		
Bath Road			
Meriton Avenue	<b>6</b>		<b>1</b>
	<b>47</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>

**No comments were received for proposals in the following roads:**

Lacock Road, Bath Road

## South Street

REF:	COMMENTS RECEIVED	NUMBER OF TIMES RECEIVED	OFFICER COMMENTS
SS1	<p>OBJECTIONS-Removing the parking and putting in waiting restrictions is not needed. There isn't a problem here anymore, parking is short as it is and reducing it will only push it afield into other streets. This would have a huge impact on all residents.</p>	26	<p>The parking issue was raised by several residents to Corsham Town Council in 2019, a large van was parking inconsiderately, although not illegally causing numerous complaints and difficulties in parking for residents. Due to the change in service of Waiting restrictions/Covid and lack of LHFIG budget this is the first batch of waiting restrictions for some time.</p> <p><b>After speaking with the Town Council, Wiltshire/Town Councillors that issue is no longer valid.</b></p> <p><b>We would therefore support the residents in removing the proposed restrictions planned for South Street.</b></p> <p><b>See Appendix 3.</b></p>

## Priory Street

REF:	COMMENTS RECEIVED	NUMBER OF TIMES RECEIVED	OFFICER COMMENTS
PS1	<p>SUPPORT-It is dangerous when you pull off the A4 into Priory Street, the existing DYL do not extend far enough and often cars are parked too close to the junction making it difficult and forces you onto the other side of the road.</p> <p>Restrictions also needed in Kings Avenue. Couldn't you move the Methuen Estate move their stone walls back to create parking on their property.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support noted.</p> <p>Requests for new restrictions need to go to Corsham Town Council in the first instance.</p> <p>It is up to individuals if they wish to apply for planning permission to turn their frontages into parking areas.</p>
PS2	<p>Objection with comments – Removing the parking will leave residents with nowhere to park out-front of their homes. Residents of Dairy Mews only have 2 allocated spaces with no effective allocation for visitors. We understand that the junction of Priory Street and the A4 causes problems and think the DYL should be</p>	1	<p>This issue was raised with Corsham Town Council some time ago when there were other issues ingoing. This has now changed. The highway is for the passage and repassage of persons and goods, and consequently any parking on the highway is an obstruction of that right of passage. There are no rights to park on</p>

	extended but allow for 4 spaces further down.		the highway, but parking is condoned where the right of passage along the highway is not impeded. <b>After re-consulting with Corsham Town Council, Town and Wiltshire Councillors we are in agreements to extend the WR from the A4 into Priory Street but leaving approximately 4 spaces further down Priory Street-See Appendix 3.</b>
<b>PS2</b>	COMMENTS – Agrees there is an issue with parking and numerous concerns about the area in general. Would Like WR to be extended as proposed from A4 but to retain 3/4 spaces for residents.	<b>1</b>	See PS2.  Other concerns raised need to be directed to Corsham Town Council.

### Neston Moor Barton

REF:	COMMENTS RECEIVED	NUMBER OF TIMES RECEIVED	OFFICER COMMENTS
<b>MB1</b>	SUPPORT- We support the proposed traffic order limiting the use of a section of Moor Barton where it intersects with Greenhill, larger vehicles including the refuse lorries are often restricted by parked vehicles.	<b>1</b>	Comment of support noted.
<b>MB2</b>	Objection - Moor Green is a terrible pinch point with traffic some of the stone cottages don't have parking, if you lay DYL you will force them to park further down away from their homes.	<b>1</b>	The issue regarding the inconsiderate parking was raised by residents and the refuse collections team. The larger vehicles often struggle and very often obstructed in making the turn in/out of Green Hill/Moor Barton. The highway is for the passage and repassage of persons and goods, and consequently any parking on the highway is an obstruction of that right of passage. There are no rights to park on the highway, but parking is condoned where the right of passage along the highway is not impeded. In this location the parking is impeding the right of passage.

### Beechfield Road

REF:	COMMENTS RECEIVED	NUMBER OF TIMES RECEIVED	OFFICER COMMENTS
<b>BR1</b>	OBJECTION-Beechfield Road is used by those going to the Springfield Community Campus and other locations. IT is also used by the fire service and a bus route too. People often park their vehicles for the day and get the bus to other locations like Bath. There are other areas like the junction of Valley Road which you should put DYL.	<b>1</b>	The request for DYL in Beechfield Road was made to Corsham Town Council by residents, where parking on both sides of the road at the location mapped has caused issues with larger vehicles negotiating the double-parked cars. Car has been damaged by a bus. It has also proved difficult in/out of Hungerford House

			where vehicles park right up to the access causing visibility concerns. The highway is for the passage and repassage of persons and goods, and consequently any parking on the highway is an obstruction of that right of passage. There are no rights to park on the highway, but parking is condoned where the right of passage along the highway is not impeded. In this location double parking is impeding the right of passage.
<b>BR2</b>	COMMENTS - The majority of the parking is on the South side outside of Hungerford House and used by many people, people will have to park on the North side and cross the road which could be hazardous. Maybe painting the lines on the North side would be a better solution.	<b>1</b>	See BR1.

### Meriton Avenue

<b>REF:</b>	<b>COMMENTS RECEIVED</b>	<b>NUMBER OF TIMES RECEIVED</b>	<b>OFFICER COMMENTS</b>
<b>MA1</b>	COMMENTS-I refer to your plans to restrict parking outside of my property, I cannot understand why you would want to do that. I paid the council for a dropped kerbs to allow access to my garage and parking to the front of my property.	<b>1</b>	Comments are noted: Corsham Town Council has requested waiting restrictions along the length of Meriton Avenue on the East side (in front of the houses), there is currently parking available the whole length of Meriton Avenue on the West side (field Side). The Town Council has received numerous complaints about double parking which has caused obstruction to larger vehicle like the refuge vehicles and home deliveries. In the event of an emergency, it would prove difficult at times for an emergency vehicle to navigate the road, delaying their response. The highway is for the passage and repassage of persons and goods, and consequently any parking on the highway is an obstruction of that right of passage. There are no rights to park on the highway, but parking is condoned where the right of passage along the highway is not impeded. In this location the double parking is impeding the right of passage.
<b>MA2</b>	OBJECTION with comments– I have lived on Meriton Avenue for many years and don't see a problem and can't understand why you want to put in waiting restrictions. There are parking issues north of Meriton Avenue where vehicles park on both sides of the road causing a narrow pinch point.	<b>3</b>	See MA1

	Many of the vehicles down Meriton Avenue are non-residents who work in the town and don't want to pay for Parking all down so surround all the local streets.		
<b>MA3</b>	OBJECTION – There are some residents which double park and cause an issue. You could widen the road to allow for better access, and resurfacing of the road as it is in a poor state.	1	See MA1  The widening of the carriageway is not an option on Meriton Avenue due to the recreation field on the west side. Any issues regarding maintenance of the carriageway can be report via the MyWiltshire app.
<b>MA4</b>	OBJECTION- It is frustrating when you arrive home to find an alien vehicle parked outside your house so you cannot park there, these are usually from people who work in the town. I have a really lovely garden and one of the only ones who hasn't changed it into a driveway. We fully understand the issues of inappropriate parking but won't it just push the issue elsewhere. Why can't we have residents parking? Why can't you take some of the recreation land for parking.	1	See MA1  We always expect there to be some displaced vehicles when any parking restrictions are introduced or amended, therefore we always monitor their effect after implementation. We will observe parking behaviour on an ad-hoc basis and will respond to any reports by the Town Council or individuals concerned with any knock-on issues caused by the restrictions.  For Corsham Town Council to consider resident's parking schemes, a majority support from residents would need to be indicated before any proposals are developed.  The recreation ground is not owned by Wiltshire Council so we cannot create parking here. Green spaces are often protected and rare in Town Centres so would be an inappropriate use of land/fund and be highly unpopular with the community.
<b>MA5</b>	OBJECTION – Most of the parked cars are those of residents and their visitors and drive and park considerably. The recreation ground is used by many children and dog walkers and the parking is a natural traffic calming slowing traffic at this area, any increase in speeds and volume would be detrimental to safety along Meriton Avenue.	1	See MA1  Whilst parking can be a natural traffic calming feature, double parking is causing an obstruction as stated in MA1. Meriton Avenue is a slow minor road and speeds/volumes are not of concern.

### Ludmead Road

REF:	COMMENTS RECEIVED	NUMBER OF TIMES RECEIVED	OFFICER COMMNETS
<b>LR1</b>	SUPPORT with comments – We support the implementation of some waiting restrictions at the junction of Ludmead Road/Prospect. Cars often double park at	1	Comments of support noted.  Corsham Town Council received numerous complaints about the

	<p>school times and park right on the junction causing problems. However, the restrictions are too long, and some residents won't be able to park.</p>		<p>double parking near to the junction of Prospect, with dangerous parking causing many conflicts between vehicles, many whom mount the footway to get through, this then causing a conflict with pedestrians/Vehicles. Many of those being at school times potentially involving children. This is also a bus route so larger vehicles are of concern.</p> <p>The majority of the properties in Ludmead Road have off street parking for 2+vehicles so there is adequate on street parking for visitors/school run vehicles away from the junction.</p> <p>When any parking restrictions are introduced or amended, we always monitor their effect after implementation. We will observe parking behaviour on an ad-hoc basis and will respond to any reports by the Town Council or individuals concerned with any knock-on issues caused by the restrictions.</p> <p>The highway is for the passage and repassage of persons and goods, and consequently any parking on the highway is an obstruction of that right of passage. There are no rights to park on the highway, but parking is condoned where the right of passage along the highway is not impeded. In this location parking is impeding the right of passage.</p>
<p><b>LR2</b></p>	<p>OBJECTION with comments. I support the order in principle, but I think you should consider restrictions on the North side as well.</p>	<p><b>1</b></p>	<p>When a Traffic Regulation is advertised for public comment, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations, to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (i.e.: further restrictions) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p> <p>We always expect there to be some displaced vehicles when any parking restrictions are introduced or amended, therefore we always monitor their effect after implementation.</p>
<p><b>LR3</b></p>	<p>OBJECTION – I use this road daily to drop my children off at the childminder who lives on Pound Pill and The Corsham School. There is insufficient parking at the school</p>	<p><b>3</b></p>	<p>See LR1</p>

	and Ludmead Road provides a safe place my daughter can walk to school. There is no other safe place to park. There is plenty of spaces all down Ludmead Road so I don't see the need for restrictions.		
<b>LR4</b>	OBJECTION – How will the introduction of waiting restrictions improve the traffic in this area, to penalise the residents because the school can't provide appropriate parking is unfair. If it is to help the bus get round easier then maybe adding restrictions to the north side would be needed also?	<b>1</b>	See LR2
<b>LR5</b>	OBJECTION – The proposed restrictions in Ludmead Road will have an adverse effect of the residents. If it is to keep the junction clear then they should be laid on the north side as well. The school parking is inconsiderate during term time and parking further down might cause problems for residents. I would also question whether any parking restrictions will work without regular enforcement and meaningless.	<b>1</b>	See LR1  Parking Services has been informed of the concerns raised of lack of enforcement.
<b>LR6</b>	OBJECTION – The road is used by parents dropping off their children to the local school, with these restrictions in place they will be forced to park further down Ludmead Road which may cause additional problems for residents.	<b>1</b>	See LR1  Regarding Prospect if resident believes there to be a speeding problem, please contact Corsham Town Council for investigation
<b>LR7</b>	OBJECTION – Whilst I agree there are issues with the school drop off parking I think that enforcement should be done on what is already there (around the junction) cars illegally park around the junction causing safety/access issues. I also think that you should put additional lines down the north side.	<b>1</b>	See LR1  Parking Services has been informed of the concerns raised of lack of enforcement.
<b>LR8</b>	Objection - Parking close to the junction can be a problem for everyone, it is also a bus route so they can encounter issues. I think the lines go too far down Ludmead Road and should be put on the North side for a short length as well.	<b>1</b>	See LR1
<b>LR9</b>	Objection – There is a significant lack of local safe parking for residents of Pound Pill properties which don't have driveways. There are no residents only parking scheme for Pound Pill. A lot of the other roads either have restrictions or too dangerous to park.	<b>1</b>	See LR1  Wiltshire Council has no duty to provide parking for individuals. For Corsham Town Council to consider resident's parking schemes, a majority support from residents would need to be indicated before any proposals are developed.

#### Hatton Way

<b>REF:</b>	<b>COMMENTS RECEIVED</b>	<b>NUMBER OF TIMES RECEIVED</b>	<b>OFFICER COMMENTS</b>
<b>HW1</b>	SUPPORT – Fully support the proposed	<b>4</b>	Comments of support noted.

	Waiting restrictions its only a matter of time before someone is seriously hurt or killed. I have seen a car be hit I pray it won't be a person next.		
<b>HW2</b>	SUPPORT – Fully support the proposed waiting restrictions. Could they be extended all the way up Hatton Way as vehicles often block my access, large vehicle often come down Hatton Way trying to get to Katherine Park also.	<b>2</b>	<p>Comments of support noted.</p> <p>When a Traffic Regulation is advertised for public comment, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations, to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (i.e.: further restrictions) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions. If residents believe there to be other issues in Hatton Way, please contact Corsham Town Council.</p>
<b>HW3</b>	<p>OBJECTION – There is little parking in Eldridge Place and some residence park on Hatton Way. This doesn't cause any problems.</p> <p>Can you put WR on Hatton Way/Valley Road as there are near misses there regularly usually to do with the school pick up/drop off. People need to be able to park somewhere.</p>	<b>1</b>	<p>Corsham Town Council has received numerous concerns about parking on the bend of Hatton Way and around Eldridge Place. Forward visibility along the road is severely restricted. When a Traffic Regulation is advertised for public comment, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations, to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (i.e.: further restrictions) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions. If you believe there to be other issues in the area, please contact Corsham Town Council to investigate.</p>
<b>HW4</b>	<p>OBJECTION – Whilst I appreciate the need for traffic regulations to enhance safety I would like to highlight some crucial aspects: I regularly park in the section of WR proposed and rely on this space for parking daily. To my knowledge there have been no accidents indicating current parking is ok. It can get busy at school times but again no report accidents suggest its ok. I also need to unload my tools to get to Poynder Road and the restrictions will make that difficult.</p>	<b>1</b>	<p>Corsham Town Council has received numerous concerns about parking on the bend of Hatton Way and around Eldridge Place. Forward visibility along the road is severely restricted. The highway is for the passage and repassage of persons and goods, and consequently any parking on the highway is an obstruction of that right of passage. There are no rights to park on the highway, but parking is condoned where the right of passage along the highway is not impeded.</p>